

Consultancy Services for Authority's Engineer and Engineer In-Charge as Applicable for Km 0.00 To Km 330.70 of Andaman Trunk Road in the Union Territory of Andaman & Nicobar Islands for EPC Contracts Packages (Total Length= 90 Km) and Item Rates Contracts (Total Length= 64.40 Km) respectively.

First Stage Evaluation (Final)												
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Sr No	Name of Firm	Role	Aggregate Bridge Length of Supervision as per Evaluation Results Communicated (mtr)	Accepted Projects	Modified Aggregate length of Supervision (mtr) (4-5)	Single Project of Supervision of 480 mtr or more Sr no. and Length	Modified no. of Single Project having 480 mtr or more bridge Length (m)	Single Project of DPR of 480 mtr or more Sr no. and Length	Modified no. of Single Project having 480 mtr or more bridge Length (m)	Turnover (in Cr) (Minimum requirement- Rs. 15.93 Crore)	Final Marka	Remarks
				Sr. No. Total Length (mtr)		As per Infracon Evaluation Results Communicated	As per Infracon Evaluation Results Communicated	As per Infracon Evaluation Results Communicated	As per Infracon Evaluation Results Communicated	As per Infracon Evaluation Results Communicated	Marks in first evaluation	Marks after considering representation
1	M/s MSV International Inc	Sole	2,664.00	0 0	2,664.00	1 Nos 0	1 Nos 0	1 Nos 0	1 Nos 42.1	42.1	0	0
												Bidder has claimed 5 nos of project in their representation dated 24.10.2018 which are examined and details are mentioned below: i) Projects at Sl. no. 15 & 24: These 2 projects are already considered in technical result communicated. ii) Projects at Sl. no. 2, 33, 42: Not accepted in view of non submission of supportive documents i.e. Certificate mentioning all details duly signed by the Authority concerned. iii) Hence, bidder remain non-responsive on account of previous mentioned reason i.e. supervision of bridge.
2	M/s ITL Technocrats Ltd	Sole	1690	5, 26, 22, 31, 09, 38, 27	4264	2 Nos 0	2 Nos 0	1 Nos 0	1 Nos 11.93	20.778	0	21.85
												Bidder has claimed 7 nos of project in their representation dated 24.10.2018 which are examined and details are mentioned below: i) Projects at Sl. no. 23: Considered now as bidder has submitted relevant supportive document issued by the Authority mentioning Bridge length and other details. However, bidder inadvertently has claimed this project as Sl. no. 5. ii) Project at Sl. no. 22, 31, 09, 38, 27: Relevant Authority's Exp. certificate mentioning Bridge length is not attached. iii) Based upon project sl. no. 5 & 26, the eligibility status of bidder has changed to "Eligible".
3	M/s Lea Associates	Sole	17120	0 0	17120	2 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. No. 51 & 84	3 Nos of project	4 Nos 0	4 Nos 151.23	151.23	24	25
												Bidder has claimed 7 nos of project in their representation dated 24.10.2018 which are examined and details are mentioned below: i) 2 Nos of Project are already considered in 1st Evaluation. ii) Other five claimed projects: Not considered in view of non submission of non-supportive documents i.e. Certificate mentioning all bridge details duly signed by the Authority concerned alongwith their representation.

Ki.

Qm

R

Full

4	YONGMA ENGINEERING CO LTD	Sole	7532	0	0	7532	6 Nos	0	6 Nos	1 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no.102	0	1 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no.102	64.51	24.25	24.25	64.51	24.25	24.25	Bidder has claimed 5 nos of Bridge project of construction supervision in their representation dated 23.10.2018 i.e. Sl. no. 4, 23, 55, 56, 71 which are examined and details are mentioned below: i) Projects at Sl. no. 4, 23, 55, 56, 71: Nature of assignment is Supervision, bidder has been awarded full marks in supervision criteria. Since relevant DPR work is not found, hence, claimed not considered. Supervision of Bridge (480 mtr or more): Bidder has claimed 3 nos of Bridge project in their representation dated 23.10.2018 i.e. Sl. no. 10, 19, 20 which are examined and details are mentioned below: i) Project at Sl. no. 19 & 20: These 2 projects are already considered in Technical result communicated. ii) Project at Sl. no. 10: This project has not been considered as the effective length after multiplying with weightage factor of other member as mentioned in RFP (i.e. 0.4 weightage to other member) comes out to be less than 480 mtr. Hence, not considered.
5	RODIC CONSULTANTS PVT. LTD.	Sole	10590	0	0	10590	2 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 19 & 20	0	2 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 19 & 20	1 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 23	0	1 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 23	193.52	22.75	22.75	193.52	22.75	22.75	DPR of Bridge (480 mtr or more): Bidder has claimed 2 nos of Bridge project in their representation dated 23.10.2018 i.e. Sl. no. 23, 21 which are examined and details are mentioned below: i) Project at Sl. no. 21: This project already considered in Technical result communicated. ii) Project at Sl. no. 23: This project is not fulfilling the required length as mentioned in RFP i.e. 480 mtr or more in DPR work. Hence, not considered and evaluation remain same as informed earlier.
6	M/s Voyants Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Sole	3400	0	0	3400	1 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 46	0	1 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 46	10260	0	10260	84.32	20.75	20.75	84.32	20.75	20.75	No report received
7	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. In JV with Construma Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with MAV Associates	Lead	1900.22	0	0	1900.22	2 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 40, 49	0	2 Nos of Project i.e. Sl. no. 40, 49	6836	0	6836	11.71	22.5	22.5	11.71	22.5	22.5	No claimed received
8	M/s Eptisa Servicios DE Ingenieria S.L.	Lead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No claimed received
9	M/s Marc TPL in JV with G-Eng Advisory Services Pvt. Ltd. in Association with MVK Infrabuild Pvt. Ltd.	Lead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No claimed received

Status of Acceptance of Projects Claimed by Bidder through Comments Provided in the Communicated Evaluation Results.				
Sr. No.	Name of Bidder	Role	Details of Projects Claimed vis-à-vis Acceptance Status- For Firm	Details of Projects Claimed vis-à-vis Acceptance Status- For Key Personnel
1	M/s Chaitanya Projects Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. In JV with Construma Consultancy Pvt. Ltd. in Association with MAV Associates	JV	-	Senior Bridge Engineer No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 60 No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 53, 54, 55, 56, 57
			-	i) Projects at sl. no. 53 to 57: These 5 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON. However, the key personnel has submitted misleading information. He was retired as Executive Engineer as per the uploaded documents on INFRACON (pension slip) the designation mentioned on INFRACON was SE for Sr. No. 45 to 60. Hence, the marks is reduced to zero against the earlier assigned marks of 15.
			-	ii) Projects at sl. no. 6, 12, 23, 31 & 45: These projects claimed by bidder vide thier representation has not been considered in re-evaluation as these projects are Highway Projects and it has been mentioned in RFP that only Bridge projects will be considered.
			-	iii) Projects at Sl. no. 2, 11, 15, 16, 17, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42: These projects were not considered in INFRACON evaluation due to mismatch in filling of data by key personnel in employment details and the Individual projects information. After examining, based on the Bidder's claim and already uploaded undertaking on INFRACON, these projects are accepted in 2(a), 2(b) and 2(c) criteria of Sr. Bridge Engineer.
			-	iv) The revised score of Sr. Bridge Engineer is 70 Marks as the increase in Sr. Bridge Engineer Score is 25 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 3.75.
			-	Senior Quantity Surveyor No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 33 No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 9, 10, 11, 28, 29, 30
			-	i) Projects at Sl. no. 9, 10, 11, 28, 29, 30: These 6 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON.
			-	ii) Projects at Sl. no. 1 to 7: These projects were not considered by INFRACON evaluation due to mismatch in filling of data by key personnel in employment details and the Individual projects information. After examining, based on the Bidder's claim and already uploaded undertaking on INFRACON, these projects are accepted in 2(a).
			-	iii) Projects at Sl. no. 8 to 33: These projects were not considered by INFRACON in view of designation i.e. Executive Engineer was not selected in Input Sheet. Now, considered for 2(a), 2(b), 2(c) 2(d).
			-	iii) The increase in Sr. Quantity Surveyor Score is 90 with increment in Aggregate Technical

h

h

h

	Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: All projects from 1-33 for 2(a) 8 to 33 projects for Sl. no. 2(b)	Score as 9.								
	<table><tr><th colspan="2">Senior Material Engineer</th></tr><tr><td>No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 7</td><td rowspan="5">i) Projects at Sl. no. 1, 2, 4: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(a). ii) Projects at sl. no. 2, 4, 5 & 7: These 4 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(b). iii) Projects at Sl. no. 3, 6: These projects has been considered now after bidders claim as in these projects 2, 4, 6 lane was not selected as per input sheet filled. Now considered for 2(b) & 2(d). iv) Project at Sl. no. 3 was not considered as 2/4/6 lane was inadvertently selected on INFRACON input sheet for 2 (a) criteria of Sr. Material Engineer, the same has been considered as per RFP document. v) The increase in Sr. Material Engineer Score is 14 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 1.40.</td></tr><tr><td>No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 1, 2 & 4 for 2(a) 2, 4, 5, 7 for 2(b)</td></tr><tr><td>Additional projects claimed by Bidder: 1 to 7 for 2(a) 2 to 7 for 2(b)</td></tr><tr><td>Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 3, 5, 6, 7 for 2(a) & 2(b)</td></tr><tr><td></td></tr></table>	Senior Material Engineer		No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 7	i) Projects at Sl. no. 1, 2, 4: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(a). ii) Projects at sl. no. 2, 4, 5 & 7: These 4 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(b). iii) Projects at Sl. no. 3, 6: These projects has been considered now after bidders claim as in these projects 2, 4, 6 lane was not selected as per input sheet filled. Now considered for 2(b) & 2(d). iv) Project at Sl. no. 3 was not considered as 2/4/6 lane was inadvertently selected on INFRACON input sheet for 2 (a) criteria of Sr. Material Engineer, the same has been considered as per RFP document. v) The increase in Sr. Material Engineer Score is 14 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 1.40.	No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 1, 2 & 4 for 2(a) 2, 4, 5, 7 for 2(b)	Additional projects claimed by Bidder: 1 to 7 for 2(a) 2 to 7 for 2(b)	Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 3, 5, 6, 7 for 2(a) & 2(b)		
Senior Material Engineer										
No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 7	i) Projects at Sl. no. 1, 2, 4: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(a). ii) Projects at sl. no. 2, 4, 5 & 7: These 4 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(b). iii) Projects at Sl. no. 3, 6: These projects has been considered now after bidders claim as in these projects 2, 4, 6 lane was not selected as per input sheet filled. Now considered for 2(b) & 2(d). iv) Project at Sl. no. 3 was not considered as 2/4/6 lane was inadvertently selected on INFRACON input sheet for 2 (a) criteria of Sr. Material Engineer, the same has been considered as per RFP document. v) The increase in Sr. Material Engineer Score is 14 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 1.40.									
No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 1, 2 & 4 for 2(a) 2, 4, 5, 7 for 2(b)										
Additional projects claimed by Bidder: 1 to 7 for 2(a) 2 to 7 for 2(b)										
Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 3, 5, 6, 7 for 2(a) & 2(b)										
	<table><tr><th colspan="2">Senior Bridge Engineer</th></tr><tr><td>No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 7</td><td rowspan="5">i) Projects at Sl. no. 3,5, 7: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(b). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 4: These projects has not been considered by INFRACON as Sr. Bridge Engineer designation was not selected in INFRACON input sheet for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d). iii) The increase in Sr. Bridge Engineer Score is 19.34 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 2.90.</td></tr><tr><td>No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 3, 5, 7 for 2 (b)</td></tr><tr><td>Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 1 to 7 for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d)</td></tr><tr><td>Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 1, 2, 4, 6</td></tr><tr><td></td></tr></table>	Senior Bridge Engineer		No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 7	i) Projects at Sl. no. 3,5, 7: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(b). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 4: These projects has not been considered by INFRACON as Sr. Bridge Engineer designation was not selected in INFRACON input sheet for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d). iii) The increase in Sr. Bridge Engineer Score is 19.34 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 2.90.	No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 3, 5, 7 for 2 (b)	Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 1 to 7 for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d)	Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 1, 2, 4, 6		
Senior Bridge Engineer										
No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 7	i) Projects at Sl. no. 3,5, 7: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(b). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 4: These projects has not been considered by INFRACON as Sr. Bridge Engineer designation was not selected in INFRACON input sheet for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d). iii) The increase in Sr. Bridge Engineer Score is 19.34 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 2.90.									
No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: 3, 5, 7 for 2 (b)										
Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 1 to 7 for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d)										
Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 1, 2, 4, 6										

2	M/s Rodic Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	Sole			

h

h

h

3	M/s Lea Associates	Sole	Senior Bridge Engineer No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 12 No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: Sl. no. 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 for 2(b) Sl. no. 5, 8, 9 for 2(c) Sl. no. 11 for 2(d) Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 2 to 12 for 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d) Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 2, 3, 4 Senior Material Engineer No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 14 No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: Sl. no. 3 to 9 Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 3 to 14 Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 10 to 14 Senior Contract Specialist No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 28 No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: Sl. no. 21, 22, 23 Additional projects claimed by Bidder: 1 to 28 Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 1 to 20, 24	i) Project at Sl. no. 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12 for 2(b); Sl. no. 5, 8, 9 for 2(c); Sl. no. 11 for 2(d): These projects were already considered by INFRACON for respective 2(b), 2(c) & 2(d). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 2, 3, 4: Not considered as "Site Engineer" designation were not claimed in input sheet. Hence, remain unchanged. iii) There is no increase in Sr. Bridge Engineer Score i.e. 62.66. i) Projects at Sl. no. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 8: These 7 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(a). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 1, 2: Project nature is of other work, hence, not considered. iii) Projects at Sl. no. 9: The project has been considered for (3) criteria as designation of Sr. Material Engineer was inadvertently not selected in the input sheet of Sr. Material Engineer by NHIDCL, which is now accepted after verification of uploaded experience certificate. iv) The increase in Sr. Material Engineer Score 4 with increment in Agg. Technical Score is 0.4. i) Projects at Sl. no. Sl. no.21, 22, 23: These 3 nos of projects were already considered by INFRACON for 2(a). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 1 to 17: These projects has not been considered by INFRACON as claimed designations were not selected in INFRACON input sheet, hence not considered. iii) Project at Sl. no. 18, 19, 20, 24 to 28: These projects were not considered on INFRACON due to mismatch between the Employment details and the Individual projects information. Now, considered. iv) The revised score of Sr. Contract Specialist is 75 Marks as the increase in Sr. Contract Specialist Score is 3.34 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 0.304.
---	--------------------	------	---	--

h

✓



4	M/s Yogma Engineering Co. Ltd.	Sole	Senior Bridge Engineer		i) Project at Sl. no. Sl. no. 1 to 6, 9: These projects were already considered by INFRACON for respective 2(b), 2(c)& 2(d). ii) Projects at Sl. no. 8, 10: These projects has not been considered by INFRACON as bidder has inadvertently not select any Lane for the project, Now considered. iii) Project at Sl. no. 7: Not selected as no bridge length claimed/mentioned in CV. iv) The revised score of Sr. Bridge Engineer is 71.33 Marks as the increase in Sr. Bridge Engineer Score is 12.67 with increment in Aggregate Technical Score as 1.90.
			No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: Sl. no. 1 to 6, 9		
			Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 1 to 10		
			Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 8, 10		
			Senior Material Engineer		
			No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 13		
			No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: Sl. no. 3		
			Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 1 to 13		
			Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: Sl. no. 1, 2, 4 to 13		
			Senior Quantity Surveyor		
			No. of Projects uploaded as per Moved Data: 23		
			No. of Projects considered by INFRACON in First Evaluation: Sl. no. 22 for 2(c)		
Additional projects claimed by Bidder: Sl. no. 4 to 21 & 23					
Projects considered out of Additional claimed by Bidder's: 5 to 10, 16, 18					
i) Projects at Sl. no. 3: This project was not considered by INFRACON for (3) criteria as Material Engineer designation was not selected on INFRACON. Hence the same is accepted and verified as per the certificate already uploaded on INFRACON. ii) The increase in Sr. Material Engineer Score 4 with increment in Agg. Technical Score is 0.4.					
i) Project at Sl. no. 22: This project was already considered by INFRACON for 2(c). ii) Projects at 5 to 10, 12 to 16, 18 : INFRACON not considered in view of Similar capacity criteria, now, Executive Engineer designation considered as Similar Capacity. iii) Projects at Sl. no. 21: This project has been considered now for 2(c) criteria i.e. supervision of atleast 2 projects as Quantity Surveyor. iv) The modify score of Sr. Quantity Surveyor Score is 95.					

f

bn

h

3-10-20